

SINGLE BENCH

3

c. f. 10/

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)**

WRIT PETITION No. 2520/2006

PETITIONER

PLAINTIFFS

1. Sukhnath S/o Lakhanram Koshta, aged about 57 years,
2. Shambhunath S/o Lakhanram Koshta, aged about 45 years
3. Manki Bai W/o Meghnath Koshta, aged about 53 years,
4. Shantibai W/o Laxman Koshta, aged about 46 years,
5. Krantibai W/o Bhagwan Dewangan D/o Lakhanram Koshta, aged about 39 years

All R/o Village and Tahsil Kondagaon
Distt. Jagdalpur.

6. Anti bai aged about 36 years W/o Ganesh Koshta R/o Village

Narayanpur Distt. Bastar. (C.G.)

7. Roop Singh aged about 62 S/o-Bajarbattu R/o-Kohkapal Tahsil-Jagdalpur Dist-Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

1. Ganeshram aged about 62 years S/o Ramsingh by Caste Koshta
2. Mohan Singh S/o Mangalram Koshta aged about 55 years,
3. Sukmani Bai W/o Harilal Koshta aged about 53 years

No. 1 to 3 R/o Village, Post and Tahsil Kondagaon, Distt. Bastar

4. Premsingh S/o Mangalram Koshta aged about 50 years R/o Village Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar
5. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through Collector, Bastar

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

1. **Particular of the Petitioner :-**
As mentioned above in the cause title.

2. **Particulars of the respondents :-**

14/2/06
Presented by Shri Sanjay Kumar
20/3/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
20-3-06
Art incorporated as per
order dt-16-06-06
(K. P. Reddy)
Advocate



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.2520 OF 2006

Petitioners : Sukhnath and others

versus

Respondents : Ganeshram and others

Present : Mr. Raghvendra Pradhan, counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. V.A.Goverdhan, counsel for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the
State/respondent No.5.
None appears for respondent No.4.

ORDER

(Passed on 15th Day of February, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners seek permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

2. It is ordered accordingly.

3. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above-stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge